UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,665	08/25/2006	Bengt Adolfsson	P71394US0	3790
	7590 07/21/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	LESLIE, MICHAEL S		
	SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/590,665	ADOLFSSON, BENGT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL LESLIE	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ag	oril 2009.					
, <u> </u>	<u> </u>					
<del>'=</del>	· <del></del>					
, <del></del>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19 and 21-29</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14,15 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.						
·—	coloction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2006</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-29 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 20, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baumann et al (3462159).

Art Unit: 3745

Baumann et al disclose a sealing arrangement for two relatively movable first (1) and second (10) relatively rotatable parts including a sealing ring (2) positioned in a sealing ring seat formed as a groove (3) in a circumference of one of the parts for sealing contact against the other one of the parts, so as to separate a first side of the sealing ring from a second side of the sealing ring, the sealing arrangement including liquid supply means (13; 20) for supplying liquid under pressure to the second side of the sealing ring, and means for balancing a pressure (12; 15; 21) of the supplied liquid in a region of the sealing ring, so that forces on the sealing ring resulting from the pressure prevailing on the first side of the sealing ring are counteracted and balanced in such a way that in operation the sealing ring is essentially free-floating in the groove. arrangement further includes a valve device (12; 15; 21) in the form of a pressure controlled valve preset to limit the pressure of the supplied liquid to about 80-100%, wherein the valve device includes a portion of the sealing ring in the form of an edge portion (11) or a separate sealing element (12) directed radially from the element the portion is intended to seal against, and a sealing device (19) for sealing between the relatively movable parts in a position opposite to the sealing ring (8) as seen from the position of the means for liquid supply.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumann et al (3462159) in view of Bartley (4055107).

Baumann et al disclose a sealing arrangement as described above, but does not teach that the sealing device includes a first, high pressure seal member and a second, low pressure seal and an intermediate space having a low pressure fluid outlet.

Bartley teaches a sealing device for relatively movable first and second parts (10), wherein the sealing device includes a first, high pressure seal member (51) and a second, low pressure seal (43) and an intermediate space (49) having a low pressure fluid outlet (57) for collecting and draining fluid.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the arrangement of Baumann et al by having a sealing device including a first, high pressure seal member and a second, low pressure seal and an intermediate space having a low pressure fluid outlet as taught by Bartley for the purpose of collecting and draining fluid.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16-19 and 21-29 are allowed.

Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,665

Art Unit: 3745

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MICHAEL LESLIE whose telephone number is (571)272-4819.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML July 17, 2009 /Michael Leslie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745

Page 5